UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,693	11/14/2003	Emmitt Carroll	00-1840	2929	
7590 12/29/2006 Emmitt Carroll 12029 Royal Road Space #2 El Cajon, CA 22313-1450			EXAMINER MAI, TRI M		
					ART UNIT
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/29/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				0				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/713,693	CARROLL, EMMI	тт				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tri M. Mai	3781					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	······••						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>6 and 11</u> is/are objected to.		•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen		· ()						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

1. Claim 1 is objected to" "ate" is misspelled.

2. Claims 1-5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Stuckey (1262402), or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Stuckey, and further in view of Miller (2576624). Smith teaches a holding device having a harness with a shoulder straps, cross strap 17 interconnecting the shoulder straps, and an adjustable belt, an umbrella holding bracket 32, one of the pocket 36 can be the cup holder as claimed, and a another one of pocket is the pocket for storing a folded up umbrella as claimed. It is noted that the terms "cup holder" is broad, one of pocket 36 can hold a small paper cup as claimed. Furthermore, the pocket 36 can hold an umbrella as claimed, i.e., it can hold a small compact umbrella. Furthermore, there is no structurally difference between the claimed pocket and the pocket 36.

With respect to the bracket Stuckey teaches that it is known in the art to provide an umbrella holding bracket defining an elongate sleeve with opening at opposite ends and a slot (note portion 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide to provide an umbrella bracket as taught by Stuckey to provide the desired protection during rain.

To the degree it is argued that Stuckey does not teach the bracket as claimed, Miller teaches that it is known in the art to provide a rod holder with a slot as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a bracket with a slot as claimed to provide the desired bracket for supporting the umbrella.

Regarding claim 2, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide to provide loops at the ends of each shoulder strap to adjust the harness easily.

Regarding claim 9, the pockets 36 are attached to the backside as claimed, since the orientation is relative. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the pocket securely attached to the backside to provide the desired location for the pockets. It is well known art to rearrange parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art, see In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA) 1950.

- Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Smith rejection 3. as set forth in paragraph 1, and further in view of Cumpston (903682). Cumpston teaches that it is known in the art to provide an umbrella support having a plate 7 with holes at d and a bracket 9 and a sleeve 9g being pivotally fastened to the bracket member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the umbrella support as taught by Cumpston to provide protection during rain and/or to provide an alternative support.
- Claims 6, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 4. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 7, 8 are allowed.
- Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. 6. Applicant assert that the combination does not teach the new limitations with respect to the holding bracket. As set forth above, Stuckey teaches that it is known in the art to provide an umbrella holding bracket defining an elongate sleeve with opening at opposite ends and a slot (note portion 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide to provide an umbrella bracket as taught by Stuckey to provide the desired protection during rain.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,693

Art Unit: 3781

It is noted that the term "slot" is broad and the opening slot at portion 27 is the claimed slot as claimed.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/713,693

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781